

REMARKS

Claims 19 to 24 remain pending are in the application, of which Claims 19, 23 and 24 are independent. Reconsideration and further examination are respectfully requested.

The Advisory Action dated August 15, 2006 indicated that the amendments to Claim 22 made in the August 3, 2006 Amendment did not overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph since the language “a capacity of a software” is not language used by one skilled in the software arts.” However, Applicants note that they may be their own lexicographer, and the specification include the terminology used in the claims. Moreover, those skilled in the art would readily understand the claimed language, even more so when read in conjunction with the specification at page 10, lines 25 to 30. Nonetheless, the language of Claim 22 has been amended to conform more precisely with that used in the specification. Thus, reconsideration and withdrawal of the § 112 rejections are respectfully requested.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our Costa Mesa, California office by telephone at (714) 540-8700. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our below listed address.

Respectfully submitted,

/Edward Kmett/

Edward A. Kmett
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 42,746

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-3801
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200